

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/600,574 06/23/2003  |                 | Kinya Aota           | 503.35255VX4        | 9655            |  |
| 20457  | 7590 09/07/2005 | EXAMINER             |                     |                 |  |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET |                 |                      | JOHNSON, JONATHAN J |                 |  |
| <b>SUITE 1800</b>  |                 | <del></del>          | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873   |                 |                      | 1725                | -               |  |

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action      |                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of | an Appeal Brief |  |  |  |  |  |

| Application No.  | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/600,574       | AOTA ET AL.  |  |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit     |  |  |
| Jonathan Johnson | 1725         |  |  |

|                                  |   | Jonathan Johnson  | 1725  |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| _                                | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add  | ress                                       |
| THE                              | REPLY FILED 12 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI   |   | *   |  |
|                                  | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment,<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i  | of Appeal. To avoid aba<br>affidavit, or other evider<br>n compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a)                               | $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |   |  |
| ·                                | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T<br>06.07(f).  | ling date of the final rejecti<br>HE FIRST REPLY WAS F                          | on.<br>ILED WITHIN                         |
| have<br>under<br>set fo<br>may r | sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extant 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL  | tension and the corresponding amou<br>shortened statutory period for reply o<br>r than three months after the mailing                                     | int of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Offi                  | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| 2.                               | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),  | , to avoid dismissal of th  |  |
|                                  | NDMENTS  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | hus malanta sha data af filima a hai  | of will not be entered b  |  |
| 4. <u> </u>                      | <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beloappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul> | nsideration and/or search (see Now); tter form for appeal by materially corresponding number of finally 16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non- | IOTE below); reducing or simplifying rejected claims. Compliant Amendment       | the issues for (PTOL-324).                 |
| 6. 🗀                             | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   | llowable if submitted in a separat  | e, timely filed amendme   | ent canceling the                          |
|                                  | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | will be entered and an e  | explanation of                             |
|                                  | DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   | A before an an Aba data of filing a   | Nation of Annual will m   | at he entered                              |
| 8. ∐                             | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | d sufficient reasons why the affic  | lavit or other evidence is  | or be entered s necessary and              |
|                                  | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap<br>y and was not earlier presented.   | peal and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                                | ils to provide a<br>1).                    |
|                                  | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after   | entry is below or attacl  | hed.                                       |
|                                  | The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | it does NOT place the application   | າ in condition for allowa   | nce because:                               |
| 12. Г                            | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape  | r No(s)   |  |
| _                                | Other:  |   | Jonat L<br>Jonathan Johnson   |  |
|                                  |   |   |   |  |

Advisory Action.Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment will not be entered because it changes the scope of the claims (i.e., "integrally provided on an end of the first plate") which would require further consideration and/or search.

JONATHAN JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER